UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEW MEXICO ALBUQUERQUE DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) CASE NO: 1:18-CR-01107-	ΜV
Plaintiff,) CRIMINAL	
vs.) Albuquerque, New Mexic	0
WAYNE RYAN,) Monday, April 30, 2018	
) (10:31 a.m. to 10:41 a.m	.)
Defendant.) (10:50 a.m. to 11:15 a.m	.)

MOTION / DETENTION HEARING

BEFORE THE HONORABLE KAREN B. MOLZEN, UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

(SEALED BENCH CONFERENCE OMITTED)

Appearances: See Next Page

Court Reporter: Recorded; Liberty - Rio Grande

Clerk: E. Romero

Transcribed by: Exceptional Reporting Services, Inc.

P.O. Box 18668

Corpus Christi, TX 78480-8668

361 949-2988

Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording; transcript produced by transcription service.

APPEARANCES FOR:

Plaintiff: GEORGE C. KRAEHE, ESQ.

U.S. Attorney's Office District of New Mexico

P.O. Box 607

Albuquerque, NM 87103

Defendant: ALEJANDRO B. FERNANDEZ, ESQ.

Office of the Federal Public Defender

First State Bank Building

111 Lomas Boulevard NW, Suite 501

Albuquerque, NM 87102

U.S. Probation/Pretrial: S. Koch

DEFENSE WITNESS	DIRECT	CROSS	REDIRECT	RECROSS
CHARLES PETERSON	12	17		

1 Albuquerque, New Mexico; Monday, April 30, 2018; 10:31 a.m. 2 (Call to Order) 3 THE COURT: This is a motion hearing in 18-CR-1107-MV, United States of America versus Wayne Ryan. 4 5 Counsel, if you'd enter your appearance. MR. KRAEHE: Good morning, Your Honor. George Kraehe 6 7 for the United States. 8 THE COURT: Thank you. 9 MR. FERNANDEZ: Good morning, Your Honor. Alejandro 10 Fernandez for Mr. Wayne Ryan, who is present, to my left. 11 THE COURT: All right, Mr. Ryan. Can you hear me all 12 right today? 13 THE DEFENDANT: I can hear you just fine, ma'am, 14 thank you. 15 THE COURT: Thank you. We are here today on 16 defendant's opposed motion to temporarily modify conditions of I have reviewed both the motion and the response in 17 release. 18 Let's talk a bit more, Mr. Fernandez. this matter. 19 MR. FERNANDEZ: Absolutely, Your Honor, and thank you 20 for the opportunity to further address the Court. Mr. Ryan's family and employer are here today. That's who are in the --21 22 well, the only people in the audience right now. 23 From your right to left is Mr. Peterson (phonetic), who is his employer, and I'll go into that further in a moment. 24

Okay.

THE COURT:

- 1 MR. FERNANDEZ: Then there is Ms. Mary Mabe
 2 (phonetic), who is a good, close family friend, his mother, his
 3 son, and his brother.
- THE COURT: Okay. Thank you all for being here today.
 - MR. FERNANDEZ: Your Honor, I want to address the Court primarily on the issue of employment because at the time of his first hearing, it was said that he hadn't been employed for at least seven years, and that's obviously a problem for two reasons.
 - One, it appears as though Mr. Ryan gave false information; and two, he is not employed, so that would no doubt concern the Court for at least those two reasons.
- 14 **THE DEFENDANT:** They thought I was lying is what 15 happened.
- 16 MR. FERNANDEZ: Yes.

7

8

9

10

11

12

- 17 **THE DEFENDANT:** I'm sorry. But I wasn't.
- MR. FERNANDEZ: And Your Honor, so after that
 hearing, I followed up with Mr. Peterson, who's now in court
 today, and he's gotten me not just documents saying when and
 how often Mr. Ryan has worked for him, but also confirmed that
 he has a major project that Mr. Ryan was supposed to be on
 presently. And that major project is out in Arizona.
- Mr. Peterson owns the company, Peterson Machine. It is machinery sales and engineering. He's expressed to me how

important of an employee Mr. Ryan is. He said he has

certifications and approvals that other employees just don't

have, certifications, and so he has skills that others don't

possess and also approvals, which means he can go onto certain

worksites that other employees can't because he's gone through

the necessary steps of showing that he is qualified to be

there.

THE COURT: Is there some kind of a national security clearance or -- what kind of certification are you talking about?

MR. FERNANDEZ: Well, Mister --

THE DEFENDANT: Background checks are one.

MR. FERNANDEZ: Mr. Peterson is here in court and he expressed a willingness to testify, if Your Honor wants to ask specific questions of him. There's certain things I just, even if he explains to me, I won't have the full grasp of, but it is involved in the machinery and the work that he does, technical certifications about what types of machines he can handle and I understand --

THE DEFENDANT: And going into -- I did a job last year, at the Aerojet Rocketdyne plant in LA, California, for Mr. Peterson and got in under those -- they have really stringent, and strict qualifications to get into their plants because of the type of work that they do for the Federal Government.

1 MR. FERNANDEZ: And that is what Mr. Peterson 2 explains to me, that it is -- the approvals are site-specific and they do include background checks and drug testing, that 3 sort of thing. 4 5 And then -- so, that was one thing I thought was very important for the Court to have some context of, but also 6 7 Mr. Peterson said that Mr. Ryan's work for him goes back over 20 years and that they have a relationship over 25. At one point, Mr. Ryan was on the payroll and he was 10 a regular employee, but since '90, I'm sorry, since 1989, he's 11 been on a project basis and that's in part because Mr. Ryan lives here in New Mexico and primary operations in 12 13 Mr. Peterson's line of work is in Arizona. 14 THE COURT: So, he's been functioning as an 15 independent contractor? 16 MR. FERNANDEZ: Essentially, yes. 17 THE COURT: Okay. MR. FERNANDEZ: Yeah. And, Mr. Peterson has been 18 19 very vocal in support, but also wishes to express to the Court 20 just how important Mr. Ryan is to his business and his current 21 project that Mr. Ryan was supposed to be on starting weeks ago 22 now. 23 THE DEFENDANT: And I told -- Judge, if I may I say 24 something? And I told some people that I was working for --

Huh-uh.

No, no.

MR. FERNANDEZ:

1	THE DEFENDANT: No?
2	MR. FERNANDEZ: So, sorry. And I'm going to ask to
3	approach with respect to the second topic, Your Honor.
4	THE COURT: All right. Do you want to do that later?
5	MR. FERNANDEZ: Yes.
6	THE COURT: Okay.
7	MR. FERNANDEZ: I do just want to add additionally
8	that some points that I wish to raise with respect to the
9	Pretrial Services Report specifically.
10	When it says that Mr. Ryan had traveled extensively
11	in South America, which I believe was, at least, something that
12	might concern the Court, I confirmed with Mr. Peterson that it
13	was a project for Peterson Machinery Sales that Mr. Ryan
14	THE DEFENDANT: I was there two weeks only.
15	MR. FERNANDEZ: Yeah. Mr. Ryan, they actually helped
16	coordinate getting him his passport and it was a project down
17	in Chile that he was working on and that was his travel in
18	South America.
19	THE COURT: When was that and for how long?
20	THE DEFENDANT: 1996, Your Honor. And I've only been
21	down there the one time and that was only to do that job for
22	Mr. Peterson. I've never used my passport other than that, in
23	my life.
24	THE COURT: Only for two weeks?
25	THE DEFENDANT: It was two weeks long.

1 MR. FERNANDEZ: And that is, that's been confirmed 2 with Mr. Peterson. It was a concern for me that, Your Honor, in the assessment of nonappearance, it says lack of verifiable 3 employment. Mr. Peterson had been made available to the 4 5 Pretrial Services Office. I know Anthony Galas (phonetic) had spoken with him. 6 7 I understand he didn't get the paperwork that I have, but there was some verification, so I think that part of the report is incorrect. 10 Further, Your Honor, with respect to failures to 11 appear, I see only five arrests listed in the bail report and 12 none say that there was any failures to appear, so I'm not sure 13 if that was put as a matter of course or if there was some 14 information that I'm not privy to that has failures to appear. 15 THE DEFENDANT: There was a speeding ticket and I was 16 incarcerated. 17 MR. FERNANDEZ: Wait, wait, wait. Okay. 18 That's, I quess where it came from, but I don't --THE COURT: Well, I see the first -- the first one in 19 20 '93 --21 MR. FERNANDEZ: Oh, I see, sorry. 22 THE COURT: -- was failure to appear. 23 MR. FERNANDEZ: The very first one. I apologize, 24 Your Honor, then. I would point out however that's nearly --25 It's a long time ago.

MR. FERNANDEZ: Yes, nearly 25 years, or just about
25 years. By all other accounts, and that's why I thought it
was so important for the family to be here. He has a strong
support network. He is known to the community. He is not
someone who, that Your Honor should be concerned with,
absconder status, but more importantly, Mr. Peterson, apart
from being an employer is pledging to the Court that he would
make sure that Mr. Ryan would be abiding by any of the
conditions placed by Your Honor including GPS monitoring and,
in a show of good faith, he actually has a place for Mr. Ryan
to stay on company property; it would be in the form of sort of
like a trailer, I believe.
like a trailer, I believe. THE DEFENDANT: It's actually a building, it's a
THE DEFENDANT: It's actually a building, it's a
THE DEFENDANT: It's actually a building, it's a house.
THE DEFENDANT: It's actually a building, it's a house. THE COURT: And how long is this employment
THE DEFENDANT: It's actually a building, it's a house. THE COURT: And how long is this employment anticipated to go for?
THE DEFENDANT: It's actually a building, it's a house. THE COURT: And how long is this employment anticipated to go for? MR. FERNANDEZ: I'm not sure how long
THE DEFENDANT: It's actually a building, it's a house. THE COURT: And how long is this employment anticipated to go for? MR. FERNANDEZ: I'm not sure how long THE DEFENDANT: Well this job is about four months,
THE DEFENDANT: It's actually a building, it's a house. THE COURT: And how long is this employment anticipated to go for? MR. FERNANDEZ: I'm not sure how long THE DEFENDANT: Well this job is about four months, but he has several others that he wants me to do and I
THE DEFENDANT: It's actually a building, it's a house. THE COURT: And how long is this employment anticipated to go for? MR. FERNANDEZ: I'm not sure how long THE DEFENDANT: Well this job is about four months, but he has several others that he wants me to do and I personally was going to move out there and go to work for him
THE DEFENDANT: It's actually a building, it's a house. THE COURT: And how long is this employment anticipated to go for? MR. FERNANDEZ: I'm not sure how long THE DEFENDANT: Well this job is about four months, but he has several others that he wants me to do and I personally was going to move out there and go to work for him full-time. Anyway, and my son was going to move out there with

was obviously the nature of the offense. Mr. Ryan would not

- 1 have -- would not be able to have any access to firearms. 2 he agreed that that's a company policy that no firearms are allowed on the grounds anyway, and that he would also pay 3 special attention to that not being something that Mr. Ryan has 4 5 any access to. Obviously, that would also mean if he's out on 6 7 company property in Arizona, he doesn't have any access to the airplanes, the ultralights that were alleged in this case. 8 **THE COURT:** Where are those ultralights now? 10 MR. FERNANDEZ: I understand that they're still on 11 his property. He has about 40 acres in New Mexico although --12 yes, I believe they're still on the property. I don't know if 13 the Government has taken any action to --14 THE DEFENDANT: Well, one of them has gotten damaged, 15 because there it wasn't secured. 16 MR. FERNANDEZ: So, those I think cover the issues 17 that were not known or not present at the time of the original 18 bail hearing. There's an additional thing I would like to 19 approach, because it's more sensitive. 20 **THE COURT:** Okay. Why don't you approach? 21 Mr. Kraehe. 22 (Sealed bench conference omitted from 10:41 to 10:50 a.m.) 23 MR. FERNANDEZ: Your Honor, may I have a moment just
 - THE COURT: Yes.

to step out and talk to Mr. Kraehe?

24

	Peterson - Direct / By Mr. Fernandez 12
1	THE DEFENDANT: If I may say something to you, Your
2	Honor?
3	THE COURT: Why don't you wait until your attorney is
4	with you?
5	THE DEFENDANT: Okay.
6	MR. FERNANDEZ: And Your Honor, at this time, I'd ask
7	Mr. Peterson to take the stand.
8	THE COURT: Very good. Mr. Peterson, if you'd come
9	right over here. That's the stand from which you'll testify.
10	And before you do that, I do need to place you under oath, and
11	if you'd raise your right hand, sir.
12	CHARLES PETERSON, DEFENSE WITNESS, SWORN
13	THE COURT: Please be seated, sir.
14	DIRECT EXAMINATION
15	BY MR. FERNANDEZ:
16	Q Mr. Peterson, can you tell us your name?
17	A Charles R. Peterson.
18	Q And can you spell your last name for the record?
19	A P-E-T-E-R-S-O-N.
20	Q Can you tell us how you know Mr. Ryan?
21	A I met Wayne Ryan originally in Casa Grande after he had
22	moved there in early '90s through church affiliation.
23	Q And can you tell us what the nature of your business is?
24	A We deal with rebuilding and restoration of industrial
25	machinery.

- 1 | Q And can you tell us how Mr. Ryan fits into your business?
- 2 A Well, we realized early on that Wayne's ability was
- 3 exceptional in his mechanical aptitude, his perseverance, and
- 4 so on, and so the needs that we had he fit in very well with,
- 5 always has, and we employed him full-time for quite a number of
- 6 years in the '90s.
- 7 And since that period of time, we scaled back part of
- 8 our operation and so he did projects, intermittent projects, at
- 9 various locations around the country for us. Those projects
- 10 involved going into plants and dismantling machinery, rigging,
- 11 loading and then occasionally he would be back at our facility
- 12 | for the reassembly of the machines, rebuilding, retrofitting.
- 13 | And he's also gone into plants like in Chile; actually
- 14 installed the machine and set it up for the customer.
- 15 Q And can you tell us, the machines, what areas, what
- 16 | sectors of industry do they service, or do you service?
- 17 A We deal primarily with metal working industrial, most
- 18 manufacturing plants similar to the auto industry, the
- 19 | aluminum, steel industry.
- 20 Q And one of the things that was brought up was that there
- 21 | are certifications and other approvals for certain worksites.
- 22 | Can you tell us what that means?
- 23 A Well, yes. In most of the plants that we go into they
- 24 have certain programs of safety standards, as well as
- 25 qualifications for a person to operate forklifts, cranes,

- 1 | equipment, and course, adhering to proper safety standards in
- 2 | each of the plants, as well as undergoing evaluations of
- 3 testing for any abuse of any illegal drugs or alcohol.
- 4 Q And can you describe for us what accommodations you might
- 5 have available for Mr. Ryan if he were to be released?
- 6 A Well, we have a large industrial site, 25-acre site, in
- 7 | Casa Grande, Arizona. It's our main facility and we have a
- 8 caretaker's residence there that is vacant at the moment and
- 9 so, we had provisions for Wayne, before this occurred, to be
- 10 on-site, staying there, while working on the project we had,
- 11 | the first project to start with. He was going to be planned
- 12 putting on payroll as a permanent employee again.
- 13 | Q And forgive me for putting you on the spot, but Mr. Ryan
- 14 is, I think, sometimes very excited. In your experience of 25
- 15 | years with him, can you tell us how you've experienced his sort
- 16 of demeanor, and his -- the way he interacts with people?
- 17 A Well, Wayne is pretty hyper and, in our line of work, his
- 18 ability to get in there and get the job done has always been
- 19 | very valuable to us, but of course, because of that, you know,
- 20 he's had other initiatives and other opportunities and other
- 21 | incentives to him. He's gone elsewhere. Otherwise, Wayne
- 22 | would have been working for us permanently forever, but, you
- 23 know, he had other opportunities.
- In fact, what occurred regularly was when he went
- 25 | into other plants doing a job for us, those companies would

- hire him, and that's where we would lose him usually, going to
 work for other companies because they saw the value.
- But of course, they didn't have the patience that we had, so it didn't take long before they also realized that for
- 5 him to work with others, he works at such a rapid pace that
- 6 many others become offended and there's personality issues that
- 7 develop, and so -- I mean, we've overcome those in working with
- 8 Wayne.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- 9 We know Wayne. The other individuals with our firm
 10 are all well aware and are under the understanding that Wayne
 11 coming back, that we look to this to be a permanent
 12 relationship.
- 13 MR. FERNANDEZ: Does Your Honor have any questions
 14 that I didn't consider?
 - THE COURT: Well, you mentioned that these projects would take him to other facilities. What you're going to have him do now as an employee, is he going to be staying in Casa Grande at all times?
 - THE WITNESS: Well, we would in this case, depending on the Court's decision. The project we have right now is right in Arizona. It's in Phoenix, just a short distance from us. We're a suburb of Phoenix, and that's where he would be working; that's the project for the next three months, maybe longer.
 - We have projects planned in Texas and also in

- 1 Michigan and Ohio. But, depending on the Court's latitude, you
- 2 know, we have others that can do those, but our preference is
- 3 of course for Wayne to do it, but we have more than enough to
- 4 handle.
- 5 There's been a major increase in industrial activity
- 6 | in the country in the last number of months and so, we're
- 7 experiencing far more than we can handle, so right at our own
- 8 location, if that's what the Court prefers and pleases, that he
- 9 would stay right there.
- 10 **THE COURT:** Okay, when you talked about
- 11 | certifications, you're talking primarily about safety
- 12 | certifications or certifications as far as abilities toward
- 13 | certain industrial equipment?
- 14 THE WITNESS: Yes, right. Hydraulic, electrical,
- 15 | mechanical.
- 16 **THE COURT:** What about this notion of background
- 17 | checks that might be required by the Government. Has he ever
- 18 | had any of those in connection with his work with you?
- 19 **THE WITNESS:** We have not required it of him
- 20 ourselves, because we've known Wayne himself, but the companies
- 21 | that have -- where we send him into, he has to go through
- 22 approval processes for them, as working for us, approved by
- 23 quite a number of firms, large, Fortune 500, Alcoa. I had
- 24 quite a list. Alcoa aluminum is one of them just recently.
- 25 **THE COURT:** All right. So, this place, the residence

- 1 Q And for how long did he work for you during that period?
- 2 A The project lasted about a month.
- 3 Q Okay. And over the last maybe five years, can you say how
- 4 many projects Mr. Ryan has worked for you on?
- 5 A He's done at least six projects for us, outside of
- 6 Arizona.
- 7 Q And the duration of those projects?
- 8 A Usually they were anywhere from one week to three weeks.
- 9 Q So over the last five years you've only worked with him on
- 10 | a very intermittent basis, maybe a one-week project, a one-
- 11 | month project in any given year?
- 12 A Yes, that's correct.
- 13 | Q Okay. So, you haven't had the opportunity to observe his
- 14 demeanor or his reliability, or his personal characteristics in
- 15 any respect other than during those work projects?
- 16 A Yes, just during those projects.
- 17 Q And during those projects, as an independent contractor,
- 18 he was out on his own, basically, without any of your
- 19 | supervision; is that correct?
- 20 A No, we had supervision in all those cases, relatively
- 21 close contact with him as well as other workers with him.
- 22 | O Were you with him during those -- the entirety of those
- 23 projects?
- 24 A I was with him the last one, as it began, in Los Angeles.
- 25 Q Okay. And do you have records that would substantiate

- 1 | these projects?
- 2 A Yes.
- 3 Q Okay. And have you done a background check recently on
- 4 Mr. Ryan?
- 5 A No, we have not.
- 6 Q When is the last time you did a background check?
- 7 A Well, our background checks would've been really more
- 8 | verbal and more consulting with others that he's been working
- 9 | with or for, and so, it's probably been, maybe more than a year
- 10 or two since we've had any real evidence come back to us that
- 11 | would give us confidence.
- 12 Q Well, have you done, like, a criminal history check?
- 13 | A Well, I've known Wayne since early '90s, and have been
- 14 able to vouch very much for his character and know very well
- 15 | many of the different incidents he's been involved in.
- 16 Q Okay. And you mentioned earlier that Mr. Ryan operates
- 17 | heavy machinery as part of his employment with you?
- 18 A Yes. Cranes, forklifts and so on.
- 19 Q Okay. And certainly, it would be irresponsible of you to
- 20 employ someone who operates that kind of machinery if that
- 21 person was a regular drug user?
- 22 A Right. We've never had anybody that has his ability in
- 23 operating, and we are -- we know in more recent years that
- 24 | there's been no activity as far as drugs are concerned.
- 25 Q You're sure of that?

- 1 A Yes.
- 2 | O Okay. Would it surprise you that drugs were found in
- 3 Mr. Ryan's vehicle when he was arrested just over a month ago?
- 4 A Well, we know that he's been on medication because of his
- 5 hyperness from a young age. I forget what they call it
- 6 exactly, but, that was more in line with his metabolism than
- 7 anything else.
- 8 Q Okay. It wouldn't be in line with his use of
- 9 methamphetamine, which was the drug that was found in his
- 10 | vehicle at the time of his arrest?
- 11 A No. We've never known him to be out of control or in any
- 12 | way under influence.
- 13 Q Okay. Would that concern you though, if an employee was
- 14 | found to have drugs in his vehicle? Methamphetamine?
- 15 A Yes, it would if he was involved with it or using it, yes.
- 16 Q Okay. And, if there were information to the effect that
- 17 Mr. Ryan was a drug user, a known drug user on a regular basis,
- 18 and I'm talking about methamphetamine and other illegal drugs,
- 19 | would that be of concern to you as an employer?
- 20 A Yes, it is, it always has been.
- 21 Q Okay. And you wouldn't employ someone who was a regular
- 22 | user of methamphetamine or other illegal drugs?
- 23 A Yes, that's correct.
- THE COURT: Excuse me. You've had, Mr. Peterson,
- 25 employed or in a contract basis frequently. Have you ever

- 22 Q Okay. Do you know whether these businesses would allow
- 23 Mr. Ryan to be on their premises if they knew that he was a
- 24 regular user of illegal drugs?
- 25 A They would not allow it on their property?

- 1 | Q Okay. Do you know whether Mr. Ryan's certifications are
- 2 | current?
- 3 A Well, we know that the one from Alcoa, and of course, he
- 4 | mentioned Rocketdyne -- Aerojet Rocketdyne in Los Angeles was.
- 5 Q Okay.
- 6 A That was last year.
- 7 Q All right. And what other certifications -- well, what
- 8 certifications would Mr. Ryan require for the job that you have
- 9 | in line for him?
- 10 A Well, the project at Alcoa coming up, he already has that
- 11 because he's been approved for them before.
- 12 | Q Okay. And you have -- do you have any concerns at all
- 13 about Mr. Ryan and his past history of drug use?
- 14 A No, I don't; we do believe more recently that his -- based
- 15 on his affirmations to us, which are significantly different
- 16 | before this occurred even, that we were -- had scheduled him to
- 17 | come on as a permanent payroll employee living on our property.
- 18 Q Okay. And the fact that methamphetamine was found in
- 19 Mr. Ryan's vehicle just a month ago, that's of no concern to
- 20 you at all?
- 21 | A Well, he's explained it to us, and so we trust his
- 22 explanation of it.
- 23 Q And his explanation of that was what?
- 24 A Well, it was -- apparently involving some activity that
- 25 was going on that he was assisting federal agencies and so,

- 1 that's my understanding of it.
 2 MR. KRAEHE: I have
- MR. KRAEHE: I have no further questions, Your Honor.
- THE COURT: Also Mr. Peterson, you indicated that you had contacted his employers and -- who all had he worked for in

5 the past?

6

7

8

10

15

16

17

18

19

20

- THE WITNESS: Well, the companies that we were doing business with that had hired him. One was a company in North Carolina -- or no, Virginia, that hired him in the midst of the project. After we had shipped the machine to them, they wanted him to come in, and then they hired him after that.
- 11 **THE COURT:** How long ago was that?
- 12 **THE WITNESS:** Wayne would probably know more exactly
 13 the year, but that would have been probably just near 2003, I
 14 think, 2004.
 - THE COURT: Okay. What about in the last five years?

 Do you have any idea who he's been working for in those last

 five years other than projects with you?
 - THE WITNESS: Well we know about it, his employer in Socorro, the automotive repair facility there. We know of them and what he was doing there. Because he's always done that type of work also.
- 22 **THE COURT:** All right. You also talked to my 23 Pretrial Services Officer, Anthony Galas?
- 24 THE WITNESS: Yes, that's correct.
- 25 THE COURT: Okay. And during the initial interview

1 with him, you seemed to indicate that there was no employment 2 relationship, or something to that effect. THE WITNESS: Well --3 4 THE COURT: Can you tell me why? 5 THE WITNESS: Actually, that -- we received a phone call, unidentified phone call which would have been a month or 6 7 so ago. We received an unidentified phone call to us. I didn't actually take the call initially, but the 9 call came to us about, was Wayne Ryan employed by us? 10 Well, we don't answer those kind of questions 11 verbally, you know. Our labor policy is that it needs to be in 12 writing; we need to know who's asking. 13 There was no identification as to who was asking it 14 and the best I can tell is whoever did respond on it was not 15 aware of where we were with Wayne at that very moment of bringing him back onto the payroll, knowing that he had worked 16 17 for us back last summer on a project. And so --18 THE COURT: Okay, so you didn't have any discussions 19 at all with Mr. Galas? 20 THE WITNESS: Well, I spoke to this Mr. Galas by 21 referral from Alejandro Fernandez to clarify about Wayne's 22 employment, Wayne Ryan's employment. 23 THE COURT: But not the initial phone call? 24 No, no. THE WITNESS: 25

Those are the questions I

All right.

1 have. Do you have any others Mr. Kraehe? 2 No, Your Honor. MR. KRAEHE: **THE COURT:** How about you, Mr. Fernandez? 3 MR. FERNANDEZ: Nothing further, Your Honor. 4 5 THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Mr. Peterson. 6 appreciate it. 7 (Witness excused) THE COURT: I noticed -- I'm looking at the Pretrial 8 9 Services Report and there is no indication of any employer 10 there in Socorro. 11 MR. FERNANDEZ: Are you talking about the auto --Yes, sir. 12 THE COURT: 13 **THE DEFENDANT:** Leesburg Auto? 14 (Defendant confers with attorney) 15 I resigned, to go to work for him. THE DEFENDANT: 16 MR. FERNANDEZ: How long did you work there? I worked for him for like last seven 17 THE DEFENDANT: 18 days, months, since September of last year, I worked for 19 Leesburg Auto and I was doing some work for another friend of 20 mine with a drill rig that I had on my Jeep. 21 We were core drilling for mineral samples and I was 22 doing that for the last year and a half, between working for 23 Charlie. 24 So, I guess, Your Honor, the short of MR. FERNANDEZ: 25 it is, I don't know whether they asked him about those things

- 1 | in particular. Clearly, Peterson Machinery has been his most
- 2 | consistent and long-lasting employment and the one that he
- 3 thought he was lining up for. He was expecting to do this --
- 4 this project in Arizona.
- 5 THE COURT: I guess it gives me some concerns because
- 6 | it's been from -- the way I understood Mr. Peterson's testimony
- 7 | is it's been intermittent times that he's been working with
- 8 Mr. Peterson. Only now would it be an employment relationship
- 9 as opposed to a contractor relationship.
- I think he said in the past it was primarily a couple
- of weeks, a week to a month, and now, it's a three-month
- 12 project he's proposing.
- 13 I still have some concerns and I don't mean to
- 14 | interrupt you here, but, Mr. Kraehe, you made reference to
- 15 | methamphetamine that was found in the car. I don't -- or in
- 16 his vehicle -- is that right?
- 17 MR. KRAEHE: Yes, Your Honor.
- 18 **THE COURT:** I don't -- is that in your motion? I
- 19 mean, what's the source of that information?
- 20 MR. KRAEHE: Your Honor, I do believe there was some
- 21 testimony to that effect at the hearing.
- 22 | THE COURT: I'm trying to remember back. I've been
- 23 through a few hearings.
- MR. KRAEHE: I couldn't say for sure, Your Honor.
- 25 know it is on the Pretrial Services Report and it's definitely

1 something that was found, Your Honor.

MR. FERNANDEZ: And Your Honor, I trust the Government to the extent that if they're saying it was found and they believe it's vouchered. If for some reason that's incorrect, that'll come to light, but if they're representing that was there, I'm willing to assume that is the truth for right now.

That's precisely the sort of thing that conditions can be reached to address. If he's in Arizona, that's just a suburb of Phoenix. There's certainly robust Pretrial Services Agency there that could both monitor his physical location, whether it be GPS or other checking in, and have random and very frequent, even daily drug testing.

What Mister -- well, Mr. Ryan's clearly been without any substances, whether prescribed or otherwise, for the duration of his incarceration.

It's not something that he wants to jeopardize his future with.

THE DEFENDANT: I'll do anything you want to prove to you that I'm -- I'm not that type of person that they're portraying me in this --

THE COURT: And as I indicated to Mr. Fernandez, I still have some other concerns. And I think I'm going to require testimony by someone who can verify or at least explain to me some statements that you've made in the past.

1 I also need to understand why anyone would have a 2 handcuff key in their mouth at the time that this happened. MR. FERNANDEZ: And that will be part of --3 THE COURT: You know, when I'm looking at flight 4 5 risk, that is probably one of the most significant indicia of a flight risk. 6 7 THE DEFENDANT: I can explain that. I think the additional testimony MR. FERNANDEZ: No. 9 that Your Honor might want to hear could go some ways towards 10 addressing that. Because at this point, Mr. Ryan, I need 11 THE COURT: 12 more testimony that would convince me that you're not a risk of 13 flight -- that --14 **THE DEFENDANT:** What about -- what about my personal 15 testimony to you? 16 THE COURT: Well, I'm going to let you talk to your 17 attorney before you give any testimony. That's why I appointed 18 Of course, it's always your right to decide what you want 19 to do, but I want you to have full advice from your attorney. 20 There's some questions I have. I think he'll go 21 through those with you to try and explain my concerns because 22 these are significant charges and I need to make sure that 23 there's -- that any conditions that I fashion will assure the

safety of the community, and we do have that discussion about

methamphetamines in your vehicle.

24

- Also, flight risk. And I have some indicia with
 that. So, let's -- I'm going to ask Mr. Fernandez, if you want
 to proceed, to get in touch with my courtroom deputy to find a
 time when I can hear from that additional witness.
- 5 MR. FERNANDEZ: Your Honor, would that be in the
 6 nature of a continued hearing or would you want me to file a
 7 new motion?
- **THE COURT:** No, I think it could be a continued 9 hearing.
- 10 MR. FERNANDEZ: Okay.

- 11 THE COURT: Yeah. You don't need anything more, do
 12 you, Mr. Kraehe?
 - MR. KRAEHE: No, Your Honor. I did want to make the point that, you know, it seems like Mr. Peterson, who seems like a very nice guy, has taken Mr. Ryan sight unseen and on his word, and I'm wondering whether Mr. Ryan is in fact employable in the capacity that Mr. Peterson says he is if Mr. Ryan does do what, I think any employer's due diligence would be and --
- **THE COURT:** Right --
- MR. KRAEHE: -- and check into Mr. Ryan's background
 because I can't imagine an employer in their right mind would
 hire someone like Mr. Ryan given his background.
- **THE COURT:** Well, he's got a lot of talents, I'm
 25 sure; that's what Mr. Peterson was talking about. The talent

1	alone is one aspect. The other part is if there are risks that
2	I perceive and he may as well.
3	So, let's go on ahead and have that other hearing,
4	because right now, I don't feel sufficiently comfortable where
5	I would set conditions.
6	MR. KRAEHE: Okay.
7	THE COURT: But, let's hear what else you have. All
8	right. Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Fernandez.
9	MR. FERNANDEZ: May I be excused, Your Honor?
10	THE COURT: You may. Have a good day.
11	(Proceeding was adjourned at 11:15 a.m.)
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	CER	TT	T T	CA	TT	
١	. r. k		-			L JIV

I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the electronic sound recording of the proceedings in the above-entitled matter.

Join I Judson

June 14, 2018

TONI HUDSON, TRANSCRIBER